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1. Introduction

This lecture is intended to be a motivation for the study of superelliptic curves which were the main focus of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held in Ohrid, Macedonia in the Summer 2014. While the scope of interesting mathematical problems related to superelliptic curves is very broad and the applications include different areas of sciences, in this paper we focus on few of the arithmetic problems related to the moduli space of curves, automorphisms groups, minimal models of curves, and rational points on curves with the intention to emphasize the methods of extending the knowledge of hyperelliptic curves to all superelliptic curves.

A superelliptic curve, simply stated, is an algebraic curve with equation $y^n = f(x)$ defined over some field $k$. The well known hyperelliptic curves, $y^2 = f(x)$, are the simplest case of superelliptic curves. There is a natural question to ask whether the rich mathematical theory of the hyperelliptic curves can be extended to all superelliptic curves. Moreover, one wonders if all of the applications of hyperelliptic curves such as cryptography, mathematical physics, quantum computation, diophantine geometry, etc can carry over to the superelliptic curves.
In this short paper we try to make the point that superelliptic curves are the exactly the right family of curves to study for several reasons, among them:

i) they are the majority of curves with nontrivial automorphism group, for a fixed genus \( g > 2 \).
ii) we can fully determine their automorphism group and write down their explicit equations
iii) they are natural generalizations of the hyperelliptic curves which are well studied.

In section 2 we give a very brief description of the terminology and notation that will be used here. We assume that the reader is familiar with most of the basic theory of algebraic curves.

A generic curve of genus \( g > 2 \) has no nontrivial automorphisms. Curves with non-trivial automorphisms constitute the singular locus of the moduli space \( M_g \), for \( g \geq 3 \). This singular locus contains several components which are determined by the automorphism group of the curves and the way it acts on the curve (the signature). The majority of these loci in \( M_g \) correspond to superelliptic curves.

In section 2 we show exactly what happens for \( g = 4 \), where about 70-80 \% of all curves with non-trivial automorphisms are superelliptic curves. It would be interesting to investigate what happens to this ratio when \( g \to \infty \). Similar to what has been done in [Sha06] for hyperelliptic curves, one can attempt to do for every "root" case for the superelliptic curves. In the case of genus \( g = 4 \) this would correspond to cases 36, 38, 39, and 40 of the diagram.

Superelliptic curves are interesting from another viewpoint. They are the only curves for which we can write down equations when we know the automorphism group of the curve. Hence, they are the "only" curves for which we can have a systematic study of their arithmetic properties or even consider arithmetic questions. We illustrate the genus \( g = 4 \) with Table 2.

The following problems are some of the main problems that connect the theory of the moduli spaces \( M_g \) with the arithmetic properties of the algebraic curves. At the current time they can be asked only for superelliptic curves.

**Problem 1** Given a superelliptic curve \( C \) defined over \( \mathbb{C} \), determine an equation for \( C \) over its minimal field of definition; see [BS14] for details.

The first problem is studied for small \( g \) in the hyperelliptic case by Mestre and for curves with extra automorphisms in [ST13, SV04] and many other references. There are no explicit works for superelliptic curves (non-hyperelliptic), but theoretically things should work the similarly as in the hyperelliptic case.

**Problem 2** Given a superelliptic curve \( C \) defined over an algebraic number field \( K \), find an equation for \( C \) with

i) minimal height; see [BS14] for details.
ii) minimal absolute height; see [BS14] for details.

This problem has been studied by Beshaj in [Bes1502] and [BS14] where the notion of minimal height and minimal absolute height are defined.
Problem 3 Create a database of all superelliptic curves defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) for small genus and small height.

This problem and some of it ramifications have been suggested in [MPRZ14] where it is provided a computer program how to write down all the superelliptic curves and their parametric equations for a fixed genus \( g > 2 \).

It is reasonable for superelliptic curves to study their arithmetic properties or to count them according to the moduli height as suggested in [BS14].

2. Preliminaries on curves

By a curve we mean a complete reduced algebraic curve over \( \mathbb{C} \) which might be singular or reducible. A smooth curve is implicitly assumed to be irreducible. The basic invariant of a smooth curve \( C \) is its genus which is half of the first Betti number of the underlying topological space. We will denote the genus of \( C \) by \( g(C) = \frac{1}{2} \text{rank } (H^1(C, \mathbb{Z})). \)

Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a non-constant holomorphic map between smooth curves \( X \) and \( Y \) of genera \( g \) and \( g' \). For any \( q \in X \) and \( p = f(q) \) in \( Y \) chose local coordinates \( z \) and \( w \) centered at \( q \) and \( p \) such that \( f \) has the standard form \( w = z^{p(q)} \). Then, for any \( p \) on \( C' \) define

\[
f^*(p) = \sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} \nu(q)q.
\]

If \( D \) is any divisor on \( X \) then define \( f^*(D) \) to be the divisor on \( X \) by extending the above \( f^*(p) \). The degree of \( n \) the divisor \( f^*(p) \) is independent of \( p \) and is called the degree of the map \( f \). The ramification divisor \( R \) on \( C \) of the map \( f \) is defined by

\[
R = \sum_{q \in C} (\nu(p) - 1)q
\]

The integer \( \nu(q) - 1 \) is called the ramification index of \( f \) at \( q \). For any meromorphic differential \( \phi \) on \( C' \) we have

\[
(f^*(\phi)) = f^* ((\phi)) + R
\]

Counting degrees we get the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

\[
2g - 2 = n(2g' - 2) + \deg R
\]

Let \( X_g \) be a genus \( g \geq 2 \) curve and \( G \) its automorphism group (i.e, the group of automorphisms of the function field \( \mathbb{C}(X_g) \)). That \( G \) is finite is shown in [SS15] using Weierstrass points.

Assume \( |G| = n \). Let \( L \) be the fixed subfield of \( \mathbb{C}(X_g) \). The field extension \( \mathbb{C}(X_g)/L \) correspond to a finite morphism of curves \( f : X_g \to X_g/G \) of degree \( n \). Denote the genus of the quotient curve \( X_g/G \) by \( g' \) and \( R \) the ramification divisor. Assume that the covering has \( s \) branch points. Each branch point \( q \) has
Then, $R = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{n}{e_P} (e_P - 1)$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula we have

$$\frac{2}{n} (g - 1) = 2g' - 2 + \frac{1}{n} \deg R = 2g' - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_P}\right)$$

Since $g \geq 2$ then the left hand side is $> 0$. Then

$$2g' - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_P}\right) \geq 0.$$  

The fact that $g'$, $s$, and $e_P$ are non-negative integers implies that the minimum value of this expression is $1/42$. This implies that $n \leq 84(g - 1)$.

Next we define another important invariant of the algebraic curves. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_g$ be a basis of $H^0(C, K)$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{2g}$ a basis for $H_1(C, \omega)$. The period matrix $\Omega$ is the $g \times 2g$ matrix $\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{\gamma_i} w_j \end{bmatrix}$. The column vectors of the period matrix generate a lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{C}^g$, so that the quotient $\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda$ is a complex torus. This complex torus is called the Jacobian variety of $C$ and denoted by the symbol $J(C)$. For more details see [ACGH85] or [Ful89].

If $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ are lattices of rank $2n$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $A = \mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda$, $B = \mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda'$, then the induced map $A \rightarrow B$ is an isogeny and $A$ and $B$ are said to be isogenous.

The moduli space $M_g$ of curves of genus $g$ is the set of isomorphism classes of smooth, genus $g$ curves. $M_g$ has a natural structure of a quasi-projective normal variety of dimension $3g - 3$. The compactification $\overline{M}_g$ of $M_g$ consists of isomorphism classes of stable curves. A stable curve is a curve whose only singularities are nodes and whose smooth rational components contain at least three singular points of the curve; see [ACGH85, pg. 29] and [ACG11, Chapter XII]. $M_g$ is a projective variety.

Both $M_g$ and $\overline{M}_g$ are singular. All the singularities arise from curves with non-trivial automorphism group. It is precisely such curves that we intend to classify in this paper.

### 2.1. Automorphism groups

A curve $X$ is called superelliptic if there exist an element $\tau \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\tau$ is central and $g(X/\langle \tau \rangle) = 0$. Denote by $K$ the function field of $X_0$ and assume that the affine equation of $X_0$ is given some polynomial in terms of $x$ and $y$.

Let $H = \langle \tau \rangle$ be a cyclic subgroup of $G$ such that $|H| = n$ and $H < G$, where $n \geq 2$. Moreover, we assume that the quotient curve $X_0/H$ has genus zero.

The reduced automorphism group of $X_0$ with respect to $H$ is called the group $\hat{G} := G/H$, see [BHS11], [SS08].

Assume $k(x)$ is the genus zero subfield of $K$ fixed by $H$. Hence, $[K : k(x)] = n$. Then, the group $G$ is a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of a genus zero field. Hence, $G < PGL_2(k)$ and $G$ is finite. It is a classical result that every finite subgroup of $PGL_2(k)$ is isomorphic to one of the following: $C_m$, $D_m$, $A_4$, $S_4$, $A_5$. 
The group $G$ acts on $k(x)$ via the natural way. The fixed field of this action is a genus 0 field, say $k(z)$. Thus, $z$ is a degree $|G| := m$ rational function in $x$, say $z = \phi(x)$. $G$ is a degree $n$ extension of $\bar{G}$ and $G$ is a finite subgroup of $PGL_2(k)$. Hence, if we know all the possible groups that occur as $\bar{G}$ then we can determine $G$ and the equation for $K$. The list of all groups of superelliptic curves and their equations are determined in [SS08] and [San09].

Let $C$ be a superelliptic curve given by the equation

$$y^n = f(x),$$

where $\text{deg } f = d$ and $\Delta(f, x) \neq 0$. Assume that $d > n$. Then $C$ has genus

$$g = \frac{1}{2} \left( n(d - 1) - d - \gcd(n, d) \right) + 1$$

Moreover, if $n$ and $d$ are relatively prime then $g = \frac{(n-1)(d-1)}{2}$, see [BHS11] for details.

3. The majority of connected components of curves with non-trivial automorphisms correspond to superelliptic curves

Let $g \geq 2$ be a given integer and $X_g$ an irreducible, smooth, algebraic curve of genus $g$ defined over $\mathbb{C}$. The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X_g)$ is a finite group or order $\leq 84(g - 1)$. For a given $g \geq 2$, the list of groups which occur as automorphism groups of genus $g$ curves can be determined following the methods in see [MSSV02] with some minor modifications. For hyperelliptic and superelliptic curves we can determine no only the automorphisms groups (see [Sha03], [San09]), but also their equations as in [Sha06], [SS08].

The main question that we want to address in this section is: from the list of automorphism groups of curves for a fixed genus $g \geq 2$, how many of them come from superelliptic curves?

The answer, at least for small genii, is that the majority of these groups come from superelliptic curves. Hence, the majority of curves with non-trivial automorphism group have equation $y^n = f(x)$, for some $n$ and $f(x)$. Note that here the term "majority" means the majority of cases and not necessarily the "majority" in terms of dimension of these families.

It is unclear if groups which come from non-superelliptic curves can be characterized as $g$ increases. From the group theory point of view, these are groups $G$ of curves $X_g$ such that for every central element $\sigma \in G$, the genus of the quotient space $g(X_g/\langle \sigma \rangle) \neq 0$. How do such groups look like when $g$ increases?

Next we illustrate what happens for genus $g = 4$. The cases when $g = 2, 3$ are easier and have appeared in the literature before.

3.1. The case of genus 4

In Table 3.1 we show all automorphism groups and their signatures for genus 4 algebraic curves. Each one of the families above is an algebraic locus in $\mathcal{M}_4$. The data in this table was computed via the methods in [MSSV02].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>dim</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>sig</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>subs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$S_5$</td>
<td>(120,34)</td>
<td>0-(2, 4, 5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$C_3 \times S_4$</td>
<td>(72,42)</td>
<td>0-(2, 3, 12)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$C_3 \times S_4$</td>
<td>(72,40)</td>
<td>0-(2, 4, 6)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_{10}$</td>
<td>(40,8)</td>
<td>0-(2, 4, 10)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$C_6 \times S_3$</td>
<td>(36,12)</td>
<td>0-(2, 6, 6)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$U_8$</td>
<td>(32,19)</td>
<td>0-(2, 4, 16)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$SL_2(3)$</td>
<td>(24,3)</td>
<td>0-(3, 4, 6)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$C_{18}$</td>
<td>(18,2)</td>
<td>0-(2, 9, 18)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$C_{15}$</td>
<td>(15,1)</td>
<td>0-(3, 5, 15)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$C_{12}$</td>
<td>(12,2)</td>
<td>0-(4, 6, 12)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$C_{10}$</td>
<td>(10,2)</td>
<td>0-(5, 10, 10)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$S_3^2$</td>
<td>(36,10)</td>
<td>0-(2, 2, 2, 3)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$S_4$</td>
<td>(24,12)</td>
<td>0-(2, 2, 2, 4)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$C_2 \times D_5$</td>
<td>(20,4)</td>
<td>0-(2, 2, 2, 5)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$C_3 \times S_3$</td>
<td>(18,3)</td>
<td>0-(2, 2, 3, 3)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$D_8$</td>
<td>(16,7)</td>
<td>0-(2, 2, 2, 8)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$C_2 \times C_6$</td>
<td>(12,5)</td>
<td>0-(2, 2, 3, 6)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$C_2 \times S_3$</td>
<td>(12,4)</td>
<td>0-(2, 2, 3, 6)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$A_4$</td>
<td>(12,3)</td>
<td>0-(2, 3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$D_{10}$</td>
<td>(10,1)</td>
<td>0-(2, 2, 5, 5)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$Q_8$</td>
<td>(8,4)</td>
<td>0-(2, 4, 4, 4)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$C_6$</td>
<td>(6,2)</td>
<td>0-(2, 6, 6, 6)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$C_5$</td>
<td>(5,1)</td>
<td>0-(5, 5, 5, 5)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>9, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$D_6$</td>
<td>(12,4)</td>
<td>0-(2$^2$, 2)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1, 5, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$D_4$</td>
<td>(8,3)</td>
<td>0-(2$^2$, 2, 4)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$D_4$</td>
<td>(8,3)</td>
<td>0-(2$^2$, 2, 4)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$C_6$</td>
<td>(6,2)</td>
<td>0-(2$^2$, 3, 6)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$C_6$</td>
<td>(6,2)</td>
<td>0-(2$^2$, 3, 6)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$S_3$</td>
<td>(6,1)</td>
<td>0-(2$^2$, 3$^2$)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>12, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$C_4$</td>
<td>(4,1)</td>
<td>0-(2, 4$^2$)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$S_3$</td>
<td>(6,1)</td>
<td>0-(2$^3$)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>13, 15, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$V_4$</td>
<td>(4,2)</td>
<td>1-(2, 2, 2)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>18, 19, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$C_4$</td>
<td>(4,1)</td>
<td>0-(2$^4$, 4$^2$)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>21, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$C_3$</td>
<td>(3,1)</td>
<td>0-(3$^3$)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$C_3$</td>
<td>(3,1)</td>
<td>0-(3$^3$)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$C_3$</td>
<td>(3,1)</td>
<td>1-(3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>15, 19, 22, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$V_4$</td>
<td>(4,2)</td>
<td>0-(2$^7$)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>14, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$V_4$</td>
<td>(4,2)</td>
<td>0-(2$^7$)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>17, 24, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>(2,1)</td>
<td>2-(2, 2)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>11, 20, 29, 32, 37, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>(2,1)</td>
<td>1-(2$^6$)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>22, 28, 30, 31, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>(2,1)</td>
<td>0-(2$^{10}$)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>27, 33, 37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Hurwitz loci of genus 4 curves
In the diagram above the red and yellow entries denote the superelliptic curves (hyperelliptic and non-hyperelliptic respectively). Notice that from 41 total cases, only 13 are non-hyperelliptic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>dim</th>
<th>aut</th>
<th>equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(5,1)</td>
<td>$y^5 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(15,1)</td>
<td>$y^5 = x^3 - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(10,2)</td>
<td>$y^5 = x(x^2 - 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(3,1)</td>
<td>$y^3 = x(x - 1)(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(6,2)</td>
<td>$y^3 = (x^2 - 1)(x^2 - \alpha_1)(x^2 - \alpha_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(18,3)</td>
<td>$y^3 = x^6 + \lambda x^3 + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(12,5)</td>
<td>$y^3 = (x^2 - 1)(x^4 - \lambda x^2 + 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(3,1)</td>
<td>$y^3 = (x^2 - 2)(x^4 + bx^2 + cx + d)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(6,1)</td>
<td>$y^3 - 1 = x(x^5 + (b - 2)x^3 + x^3c - (2b + 1/2)x - 2c)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(36,10)</td>
<td>$y^3 - 1 = x^6 + \lambda x^3 + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(3,1)</td>
<td>$y^3 = (x^2 - 1)(x^2 - \alpha_1)(x^2 - \alpha_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(12,4)</td>
<td>$y^3 - 1 = (x^2 - 1)(x^2 - \alpha_1)(x^2 - \alpha_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(72,40)</td>
<td>$y^3 - 1 = x^6 - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(6,2)</td>
<td>$y^6 = x(x - 1)(x - \alpha)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(4,1)</td>
<td>$y^4 = x^2(x - 1)(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(12,2)</td>
<td>$y^4 = x^2(x^3 - 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(2,1)</td>
<td>$y^2 = f(x)$, deg $f = 9, 10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(4,2)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x^{10} + a_1x^9 + a_2x^8 + a_3x^7 + a_4x^6 + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(4,1)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x(x^6 + a_1x^5 + a_2x^4 + a_3x^3 + 2) + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(8,3)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x(x^4 + \lambda_1x^2 + 1)(x^4 + \lambda_2x^2 + 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(6,2)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x^8 + a_1x^6 + a_2x^3 + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(40,8)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x^{10} - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(32,19)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x(x^8 - 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(24,3)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x(x^4 - 1)(x^4 + 2\sqrt{3}x^2 + 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(18,2)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x^9 + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(8,4)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x(x^4 - 1)(x^4 + \lambda x^2 + 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(20,4)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x^{10} + \lambda x^5 + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(16,7)</td>
<td>$y^2 = x(x^8 + \lambda x^4 + 1)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each of the superelliptic cases we can write explicitly the equation of each family of curves. The equations in all other cases are not known.
As seen from the tables, the superelliptic curves are well understood for genus \( g = 4 \) even though many questions remain. Moreover, we could compile such tables for any \( g > 2 \), even though as \( g \) increases the computations become more challenging.

Given a curve of genus 4, can we determine if the curve belongs to any of the cases of the above table? Moreover, for any of the curves in the above families defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) can we determine if such curve is isomorphic to a curve defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \)? Some of these questions will be treated in the next section.

4. Equations of superelliptic curves over their minimal field of definition

For each \( g \), the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}_g \) (resp., \( \mathcal{H}_g \)) is the set of isomorphism classes of genus \( g \) algebraic (resp., hyperelliptic) curves \( \mathcal{X}_g \) defined over an algebraically closed field \( k \). It is well known that \( \mathcal{M}_g \) (resp., \( \mathcal{H}_g \)) is a \( 3g - 3 \) (resp., \( 2g - 1 \)) dimensional variety. Let \( L \) be a subfield of \( k \). If \( \mathcal{X}_g \) is a genus \( g \) curve defined over \( L \), then clearly \( [\mathcal{X}_g] \in \mathcal{M}_g(L) \). Generally, the converse does not hold. In other words, the moduli spaces \( \mathcal{M}_g \) and \( \mathcal{H}_g \) are coarse moduli spaces.

Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a curve defined over \( k \). A field \( F \subseteq k \) is called a \textbf{field of definition} of \( \mathcal{X} \) if there exists \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) defined over \( F \) such that \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{X} \) over \( k \).

The \textbf{field of moduli} of \( \mathcal{X} \) is a subfield \( F \subseteq k \) such that for every automorphism \( \sigma \) of \( k \), \( \mathcal{X} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{X} \) if and only if \( \sigma|_F = id \).

We will use \( p = [\mathcal{X}] \in \mathcal{M}_g \) to denote the corresponding \textbf{moduli point} and \( \mathcal{M}_g(p) \) the residue field of \( p \) in \( \mathcal{M}_g \). In characteristic zero the field of moduli of \( \mathcal{X} \) coincides with the residue field \( \mathcal{M}_g(p) \) of the point \( p \) in \( \mathcal{M}_g \); see Baily [Bai61]. The notation \( \mathcal{M}_g(p) \) (resp., \( M(\mathcal{X}) \)) will be used to denote the field of moduli of \( p \in \mathcal{M}_g \) (resp., \( \mathcal{X} \)). If there is a curve \( \mathcal{X}' \) isomorphic to \( \mathcal{X} \) and defined over \( M(\mathcal{X}) \), we say that \( \mathcal{X} \) has a \textbf{rational model over its field of moduli}.

As mentioned above, the field of moduli of curves is not necessarily a field of definition. However, finding such curves is not easy. Shimura was the first to provide an example of a family of hyperelliptic curves such that the field of moduli is not a field of definition; see [Shi72].

Example 1 (Shimura) The family of curves is given by

\[
Y^2 = a_0 X^m + \sum_{r=1}^{m} (a_r X^{m+r} + (-1)^r \bar{a}_r X^{m-r})
\]

where \( m \) is odd, \( a_m = 1, a_0 \in \mathbb{R}, a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1} \) are complex numbers and \( \bar{a}_r \) denotes the complex conjugation of \( a_r \). These curves have complex conjugation, so the field of moduli is at most \( \mathbb{R} \). However, for an appropriate choice of \( a_i \)'s (algebraically independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \)) these curves are not defined over \( \mathbb{R} \).

It is well known that for a given algebraic curve \( \mathcal{X} \) defined over any subfield of \( \mathbb{C} \), there exists a field of definition for \( \mathcal{X} \) which is a finite algebraic extension of the moduli field \( M(\mathcal{X}) \). We naturally are interested in curves for which the field of moduli is a number field. Then, their field of definition can be also chosen to be a number field. For the proof of the following result see [Wol97], Theorem 3.
**Theorem 1** A compact Riemann surface is a Belyi surface if and only if its moduli field is a number field.

What are necessary conditions for a curve to have a rational model over its field of moduli? We consider only curves of genus $g > 1$; curves of genus 0 and 1 are known to have a rational model over its field of moduli. In (1954) Weil showed that:

i) For every curve $X$ with trivial automorphism group the field of moduli is a field of definition.

Later work of Belyi, Shimura, Coombes-Harbater, Débes, Douai, Wolfart et al. has added other conditions which briefly are summarized below.

The field of moduli of a curve $X$ is a field of definition if:

ii) $\text{Aut}(X)$ has no center and has a complement in the automorphism group of $\text{Aut}(X)$

iii) The field of moduli $M(X)$ is of cohomological dimension $\leq 1$

iv) The canonical $M(X)$-model of $X/\text{Aut}(X)$ has $M(X)$-rational points.

4.1. Field of moduli of superelliptic curves

It seems that superelliptic curves are the most interesting examples on the field of moduli problem. Shimura’s family and Earle’s family of curves (i.e., with non-trivial obstruction) are both families of hyperelliptic curves. Moreover, the following is proved in [Shi72].

**Theorem 2 (Shimura)** No generic hyperelliptic curve of even genus has a model rational over its field of moduli.

Consider the following problem:

**Problem 4** Let the moduli point $p \in S_g$ be given, where $S_g$ is one of the loci of the superelliptic curves in the corresponding moduli space $M_g$. Find necessary and sufficient conditions that the field of moduli $S_g(p)$ is a field of definition. If $p$ has a rational model $X_g$ over its field of moduli, then determine explicitly the equation of $X_g$.

In 1993, Mestre solved the above problem for genus two curves with automorphism group of order 2. He showed that given a field $L \subset \mathbb{C}$, there is a bijective correspondence between the $L$-rational points of $M_2$ and $L$-isomorphism classes of pairs $(M, D)$ ($M$ being a genus zero curve over $L$ and $D$ an $L$-rational effective divisor of degree 6 without multiplicities). Moreover, under this correspondence, a point $p \in M_2$ such that $|\text{Aut}(p)| = 2$ is given by a curve $C$ defined over $L$ if and only if $M$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_L$. The proof is based on the results of Clebsch, Bolza, and Igusa on the classical theory of invariants of binary sextics.

In [Sha02], following a different approach, we show that for genus 2 curves with extra automorphisms the field of moduli is a field of definition.

Following the same approach as Mestre and using a coordinate for $H_3$ in terms of absolute invariants $t_1, \ldots, t_6$, as defined in [Sha14b] one would like to have an
algorithm which determines when the field of moduli is a field of definition for the
generic hyperelliptic curve of genus 3. Moreover, an equation of the curve over its
minimal field of definition would be desirable.

Problem 5 Let \( p = (t_1, \ldots, t_6) \) be a point in the genus 3 hyperelliptic moduli,
where \( t_1, \ldots, t_6 \) are defined as in [Sha14b]. Provide an explicit equation of a curve
in terms of \( t_1, \ldots, t_6 \), when possible.

4.2. Generic superelliptic curves

Invariants of binary forms are known up to binary decimics and theoretically
we understand the general case (\( n > 5 \)). Such invariants give a description of
a point in the corresponding moduli space and should be enough to determine
such equations for "generic" superelliptic curves of "small" genus. The natural
question is, how far can be pushed the computational limits and up to what genus \( g \) do we get explicit results? Superelliptic curves with extra automorphisms are
curves \( y^n = f(x) \) with automorphism group of order > \( n \). Such curves have to
be treated differently from the generic case. Probably techniques used for genus
2 and 3 should work for higher genii as well.

5. Curves with minimal height

Let us assume that from above section we have determined a Weierstrass equation
of some superelliptic curve defined over some number field \( K \). Let \( \mathcal{O}_K \) be its ring
of integers. The main question is how "good" is this equation?

In general, the algorithms that we have from section 2 provide equations
with extremely large coefficients. Can we find an equation which is "minimal" in
some sense. Below we give briefly some preliminaries on heights of curves and the
moduli height of a given curve. For more details please see [Bal15].

Let \( \mathcal{X}_g \) be an irreducible algebraic curve with affine equation \( F(x, y) = 0 \) for
\( F(x, y) \in K[x, y] \). We define the height of the curve over \( K \) to be
\[
H_K(\mathcal{X}_g) := \min \{ H_K(G) : H_K(G) \leq H_K(F) \}
\]
where the curve \( G(x, y) = 0 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{X}_g \) over \( K \).

If we consider the equivalence over \( \overline{K} \) then we get another height which we
denote it as \( \overline{H}_K(\mathcal{X}_g) \) and call it the height over the algebraic closure. Namely,
\[
\overline{H}_K(\mathcal{X}_g) = \min \{ H_K(G) : H_K(G) \leq H_K(F) \},
\]
where the curve \( G(x, y) = 0 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{X}_g \) over \( \overline{K} \).

In the case that \( K = \mathbb{Q} \) we do not write the subscript \( K \) and use \( H(\mathcal{X}_g) \) or
\( \overline{H}(\mathcal{X}_g) \). Obviously, for any algebraic curve \( \mathcal{X}_g \) we have \( \overline{H}_K(\mathcal{X}_g) \leq H_K(\mathcal{X}_g) \). For
the proof of the following results see [BS14].

Lemma 1 Let \( K \) be a number field such that \( [K : \mathbb{Q}] = d \). Then, \( H_K(\mathcal{X}_g) \) and
\( \overline{H}_K(\mathcal{X}_g) \) are well defined.

Theorem 3 Let \( K \) be a number field such that \( [K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d \). Given a constant \( c \)
there are only finitely many curves (up to isomorphism) such that \( H_K(\mathcal{X}_g) \leq c \).
5.1. Moduli height of curves

Let $g$ be an integer $g \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{M}_g$ denote the coarse moduli space of smooth, irreducible algebraic curves of genus $g$. It is known that $\mathcal{M}_g$ is a quasi projective variety of dimension $3g - 3$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_g$ is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{3g - 2}$. Let $p \in \mathcal{M}_g$. We call the moduli height $\mathcal{H}(p)$ the usual height $H(P)$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{3g - 2}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{H}(p)$ is an invariant of the curve.

**Theorem 4** For any constant $c \geq 1$, degree $d \geq 1$, and genus $g \geq 2$ there are finitely many superelliptic curves $X_g$ defined over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of an algebraic number field $K$ such that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$ and $\mathcal{H}(X_g) \leq c$.

5.2. Classifying superelliptic curves

One of the main goals would be to classify all superelliptic curves over $\mathbb{Z}$ for a fixed genus $g$. Of course the same question can be asked for any ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$.

One can attempt to write down list of such curves as the tables in [BS14] for genus 2 curves of height 1. However, what is missing in those tables is that for every moduli point $p \in \mathcal{M}_2$ is given a curve of minimal height corresponding to $p$ but not all its twists. In other words, there are usually other curves defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ belonging to the same moduli point. In [BS15] it is intended a method of how to list all such curves for every moduli point.

Another way of classifying or listing the curves would be through their moduli height. This would make more sense theoretically because the height of the moduli point is a more natural invariant. However, computationally this definitely would be more challenging. Curves with small moduli height don’t necessarily have small height (i.e., small coefficients).
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